

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,748	03/17/2004	Dana M. Walker	100041-41199	6866
	7590 10/25/2007		EXAMINER	
THOMPSON HINE L.L.P. Intellectual Property Group			WILLIAMS, JAMILA O	
P.O. BOX 8801 DAYTON, OH			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
<i>D</i> 1111014, 011	15 101 0001		3722	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}$	2
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/803,748	WALKER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·
	Jamila Williams	3722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become Al	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26.</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	·	
Disposition of Claims			•
4)  Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-46 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir 11.	ecepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyal ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the cer	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		nformal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/803,748 Page 2

Art Unit: 3722

### Claim Objections

Claim 46 objected to because of the following informalities: claim 46 depends
from claim 43, however the limitations of the claims are the same and therefore
claim 46 does not appear to further limit claim 43. Appropriate correction is
required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 11-37,39-40,42-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 4,614,450 to Neiman in view of 5,080,223 to Mitsuyama and further in view of 4,345,394 to Sullivan. Neiman discloses a system comprising at least one storage sheet (10) having at least one pocket (pocket 18 used for storing index cards) in a notebook (fig1) having a front and back cover and a binding mechanism (16). Neiman further discloses that the pockets are transparent (abstract of Neiman).

Neiman does not however disclose the storage sheet having a backing sheet, a panel pocket between the at least one card pocket and the backing sheet and including at least one supply sheet having a plurality of index cards formed therein and detachable therefrom.

Mitsuyama teaches having a storage sheet having a backing sheet (32) and a panel pocket (37) between at least one pocket (43) and the backing sheet (figure 8-9 of Mitsuyama). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the panel pocket and backing as taught by Mitsuyama with the storage sheet of Neiman for the purpose of providing additional storage.

Page 3

Sullivan teaches having a supply sheet (fig 3 Sullivan) capable of being bound in a notebook via binding edge (36 of Sullivan) and having at least one detachable index card thereon with perforations (fig 3 of Sullivan, the cards of Sullivan satisfy the requirement of being "index cards" in that they can be filed, as in the pockets in figure 2 for example and they can receive text). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the supply sheet of Sullivan with the system of Neiman modified by Mitsuyama for the purpose of allowing the user to store both the index cards and the supply sheet together, especially since Sullivan teaches assembling both a supply sheet and pocket sheet in a binder (col 1 lines 40-43 of Sullivan).

Regarding claim 3 wherein the system further comprises a plurality of sheets of paper bound by the binding mechanism. It is well known to provide sheets of paper in a notebook. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include sheets of paper in the notebook of Neiman (modified by Mitsuyama and Sullivan) for the purpose of providing the user with sheets for writing information thereon.

Regarding the limitations of claim 4 wherein the supply sheet is similar in shape but generally smaller than the sheets of paper and the limitations of claims 12, 14-16 wherein the index cards are of a specific size, it is well known to have paper or index cards of various sizes therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that the time the invention was made to alter the size of the sheet as suited for the intended use, especially since applicant has provided no criticality towards the size of the paper (page 6 paragraph [0026] of spec.) nor the size of the index cards (page 4 paragraph [0021] last 5 lines of spec.).

Regarding the limitations of claim 6 wherein the binding mechanism is a helical coil or twin wire, Neiman uses binding rings as the binding mechanism but helical coil and twin wire binders are also a well known binding mechanism. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a well known helical coil binder for the purpose of binding the sheets together, since binding rings and helical coil binders are art recognized equivalents.

Regarding claim 7, Neiman modified by (Misuyama and Sullivan) discloses at least one card pocket of the storage sheet includes a mouth and a flap for selectively covering the mouth (figure 8 of Misuyama, flap 35).

Regarding claim 11, Neiman (modified by Mitsuyama and Sullivan) discloses having two pockets being rectangular in shape and the first pocket having a longitudinal axis. Neiman (modified by Mitsuyama and Sullivan) does not however disclose that the second rectangular pocket has a longitudinal axis perpendicular to the axis of the first pocket. However changing the size of the pocket such that the longitudinal axis of the

Art Unit: 3722

second pocket is perpendicular to the axis of the first pocket would be an obvious matter of design choice. This modification would allow for storing index cards of different sizes.

Regarding claim 13, Neiman (modified by Mitsuyama and Sullivan) discloses the index card being formed on the supply sheet by a plurality of perforations in the supply sheet (fig 3 of Sullivan).

Regarding claims 17-18, Neiman (modified by Mitsuyama and Sullivan) discloses the pocket being made of a generally entirely transparent material and that the pocket is sized to generally closely receive one index card therein such that the card is generally visible therethrough (abstract and fig 3 of Neiman).

Neiman (modified by Mitsuyama and Sullivan) also satisfies the method for assembling an index card storage system, recited in claims 19-27. Regarding the method steps of claims 28-29, Neiman teaches providing a storage sheet with a pocket thereon and a binding mechanism and a teaching of placing index cards in the pockets (Neiman fig 3). Mitsuyama teaches providing a storage sheet having a backing sheet (32) and a panel pocket (37) between at least one pocket (43) and the backing sheet (figure 8-9 of Mitsuyama). Sullivan teaches having a supply sheet with detachable index cards thereon and placing the detached card in a pocket (Sullivan fig 2). Therefore it would have been obvious to place the index card of Sullivan in the pocket of Neiman modified by Mitsuyama for the purpose of providing safe storage of the card.

Regarding claim 30, see rejection of claim 18 above.

Regarding claim 31, see rejection of claim 17 above.

Art Unit: 3722

Regarding claim 32, see rejection of claim 17 above.

Regarding claim 33, see rejection of claim 15 above.

Regarding claim 35, see rejection of claim 13 above.

Regarding claim 36, see rejection of claim 17 above.

Regarding claim 37, see rejections of claims 22-23 above.

Regarding claim 39, see rejection of claim 17 above.

Regarding claim 40, see rejection of claims 22-23 above.

Regarding claims 43-46, Neiman modified by Mitsuyama and Sullivan disclose each of the index cards has a front and back surface (figure 3 of Sullivan- only front shown), wherein when the index card is placed in the card pocket at least the front is entirely visible (both Neiman and Mitsuyama disclose transparent pockets (abstract of Sullivan, column 2 lines 37-41 of Mitsuyama).

4. Claims 1,7,8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6,652,178 to Walton in view of Mitsuyama in view of Sullivan and further in view of 3,720,304 to Laugherty et al. Walton discloses a storage system having at least one storage sheet (fig 1 of Walton) with at least one pocket that is to be bound in a notebook binder (abstract of Walton). Walton further discloses that the pocket includes a mouth and flap (fig 7a-d of Walton). Walton however fails to disclose the backing sheet and panel pocket and a supply sheet having an index card formed therein and detachable therefrom and a binding mechanism for binding the sheet together with the storage sheet.

Art Unit: 3722

Mitsuyama teaches having a storage sheet having a backing sheet (32) and a panel pocket (37) between at least one pocket (43) and the backing sheet (figure 8-9 of Mitsuyama). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the panel pocket and backing as taught by Mitsuyama with the storage sheet of Walton for the purpose of providing additional storage.

Sullivan teaches having at least one supply sheet having a plurality of index cards formed therein and detachable therefrom (fig 3 of Sullivan) and that has a binding edge (28) to be bound in a notebook (col 1 lines 40-43 of Sullivan). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the storage sheet and binding mechanism (notebook) as taught by Walton modified by Mitsuyama with the supply sheet and binding edge of Sullivan for the purpose of providing storage for both the supply and storage sheets.

This combination does not however disclose the slit and crease used to close the flap, as recited in claims 8-10. Laugherty teaches having a pocket device (cardboard in figures forms a pocket) having a slit (slit which forms tab 6) on the front face of the pocket for receiving flap (4) wherein the pocket has a crease such that the slit edge is pivotable about the crease (see figures 2-5, crease allows for pivotable movement of tab 6); wherein the slit forms an angle with the body of the pocket and is shaped to guide the flap there under (fig 4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Laugherty with

Art Unit: 3722

the pocket of Walton (modified by Mitsuyama and Sullivan) for the purpose of more securely closing the flap and pocket.

Claims 1,19,29 and 34,38,41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6,652,178 to Walton in view of Mitsuyama and further in view of Sullivan. Walton discloses a storage system having at least one storage sheet (fig 1 of Walton) with at least one pocket that is to be bound in a notebook binder (abstract of Walton). Walton further discloses that the pocket includes a mouth and flap (fig 7a-d of Walton) and having a plurality of pockets spaced apart and arranged in a generally coplanar, non-overlapping relation (fig 7d of Walton for example). Walton however fails to disclose the backing sheet and panel pocket and a supply sheet having an index card formed therein and detachable therefrom and a binding mechanism for binding the sheet together with the storage sheet.

Mitsuyama teaches having a storage sheet having a backing sheet (32) and a panel pocket (37) between at least one pocket (43) and the backing sheet (figure 8-9 of Mitsuyama). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the panel pocket and backing as taught by Mitsuyama with the storage sheet of Walton for the purpose of providing additional storage.

Sullivan teaches having at least one supply sheet having a plurality of index cards formed therein and detachable therefrom (fig 3 of Sullivan) and that has a binding edge (28) to be bound in a notebook (col 1 lines 40-43 of Sullivan). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 3722

to use the storage sheet and binding mechanism (notebook) as taught by Walton with the supply sheet and binding edge of Sullivan modified by Mitsuyama for the purpose of providing storage for both the supply and storage sheets.

Walton modified by Mitsuyama and Sullivan further discloses the method of assembling an index card storage system, as recited in claims 19 and 29.

Regarding claim 38, see rejection of claim 34 above.

Regarding claim 41, see rejection of claim 34 above.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/803,748 Page 10

Art Unit: 3722

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamila Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-

4431. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Monica Carter can be reached on 571-272-4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JW 8-29-2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER